

2. By this petition, the petitioner seeks a direction to the respondents to pay the salary of respondent no.4 on the ground that the

petitioner-institution is a fully aided institution. Notice why the petition should not be admitted was issued and the reply has been filed. Paragraph 5 of the reply reads thus:-

"5. With reference to paragraph 5 Grounds (a), (b) and (i) of the petition, I say that as replied in para 2 and 3 above, since the petitioner hospital is receiving grant-in-aid by way of reimbursement only, the so-called expenditure on account of arrears i.e. non-recurring expenditure must have borne by the petitioner hospital and the responsibility to pay the salary as well as non-recurring expenditure likewise arrears if any is rest with petitioner only and not on the part of respondent-Governmnet."

From the reply quoted above, it will be seen that the responsibility is of the petitioner to pay the salary and claim reimbursement thereof as stated in the above reply. That being an effective immediate remedy available to the petitioner, we require the petitioner to make such claim for reimbursement. The writ petition, in the circumstances, is disposed of with this direction. Rule disposed of.

(D.B.BHOSALE, J.)

(V.G.PALSHIKAR, J.)